



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,368	04/09/2004	Yukio Miyaki	09792909-5862	1192
26263 7590 03/24/2010 SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080				
EXAMINER WANG, EUGENIA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/24/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/821,368	Applicant(s) MIYAKI ET AL.
		Examiner EUGENIA WANG	Art Unit 1795

All Participants:

(1) EUGENIA WANG.

(2) Anne Wasilchuk.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The non-compliant issue with respect to the amendment received 3/17/2010 were discussed (i.e. the fact that the advisory action dated 2/24/2010 was entered, and thus the claim headings of the 3/17/2010 do not reflect the pending claims). A supplemental amendment (submitted by by/on 3/25/2010) was suggested to fix the non-compliant issue in order to avoid receiving the written notice of non-compliance and in order to make prosecution more compact.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gregg Cantelmo/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)